## Case 4:25-cr-00023-YGR Document 9 Filed 02/07/25 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

Case No. <u>CR 25-0</u>023 46R United States of America,

	Plaintiff, ) v. )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Er	Defendant(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 2/7/25, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 1/7/25 to 3/27/25 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):		
		e likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.  NORTH DISTRICT CO
	defendants, the nature of the pro or law, that it is unreasonable to expect	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of FICE of secution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
		eny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
		nreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
1	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
1	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). <i>See</i> Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
DATED	D: 2/1/13	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
STIPUL	ATED:	Jevatroh

Attorney for Defendant

Assistant United States Attorney